

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/682,655	Applicant(s) SHMULEVICH ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDREW GOLDBERG	Art Unit 2491	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDREW GOLDBERG (3) _____

(2) Attorney Schuster (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☒ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner initiated interview to discuss the terms "master template" and "name-value pair". It was explained that the master template does not contain presentation data, but merely the building blocks to create a device/service specific application. Further, a name-value pair was best understood to the examiner as a name and value correlated with the building blocks (a weather example in the spec was provided). Examiner thanks applicant clarifying the record so that a proper examination may be conducted.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

	/Ashok B. Patel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2491
--	--